International Journal of Engineering Sciences Paradigms and Researches (IJESPR) Volume 46, Issue: Special Issue of December 2017 An Indexed, Referred and Peer Reviewed Journal with ISSN (Online): 2319-6564 www.ijesonline.com

# Improve wire EDM performance at different machining parameters – ANFIS modeling

Alok Ku. Mohapatra<sup>1\*</sup>, Subrat Das<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Nalanda Institute of Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Nalanda Institute of Technology,

Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

\*Corresponding author e-mail: alokkumar@thenalanda.com

**Abstract:** The experimental research of wire electric discharge machining (WEDM) for enhancing process performance is presented in this paper. Investigations were done on how the machining settings affected the performance. The performance of the WEDM was examined in relation to significant parameters using the Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS). Additionally, the cutting speed, surface roughness, and heat affected zone in WEDM were predicted using ANFIS. When measured data and anticipated data for cutting speed, surface roughness, and heat impacted zone were compared, the average prediction errors for each were 3.41, 3.89, and 4.1, respectively.

Keywords: WEDM, Cutting speed, Surface roughness, Heat-affected zone, ANFIS

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

An electro-thermal machining procedure for conducting materials is called wire electrical discharge machining (WEDM). The heat from electrical sparks is used to process metal using a metal wire electrode with de-ionized water. Complex and precise parts for hard conductive materials can be machined using WEDM (Sommer and Sommer, 2013, Maher et al., 2014c). Various materials are machined with WEDM for applications involving current tooling. In addition, WEDM is employed to machine contemporary composite materials (Ho et al., 2004).

A variety of process parameters are used to manage the intricate machining process known as WEDM. Surface roughness and cutting rate are two machining performance metrics that can be impacted by even the smallest changes in one of the process parameters. Finding the various WEDM process-affecting aspects and exploring the various approaches to achieving the ideal machining conditions and performance helps select the most effective machining strategy (Huang et al., 1999).

Several efforts have been made to determine optimal machining conditions for improving the productivity and achieving high quality via increase cutting rate and improve surface quality (Barzani et al., 2015). Nourbakhsh et al. (Nourbakhsh et al., 2013) studied the effect of injection pressure, servo reference voltage, time between two pulses and pulse width on surface integrity, wire rupture and cutting speed. They revealed that the cutting speed increases as pulse width increases. The surface roughness decreases as time between two pulses decreases. Besides that, experimental investigation of the effects of cutting parameters on surface roughness for the 1040, 2379 and 2738 steel material types

have been successfully carried out and practical results for the WEDM process have been obtained (Gökler and Ozanözgü, 2000). Moreover, the recast layer has been observed to occur under different spark erosion conditions and it has many pockmarks, globules, cracks, and micro cracks. (Tomlinson and Adkin, 1992). Many investigations were done by earlier researchers and they observed that this layer was obvious under all machining conditions, including when water is used as dielectric material (Ramasawmy et al., 2005, Kruth et al., 1995, Jangra et al., 2011).

To obtain low surface roughness and heat affected zone, low discharge parameters with high dielectric flushing rate are required. However, they lower the cutting rate in WEDM. This implies that high cutting rate with least surface defects is difficult to obtain in a single setting of process parameters. In order to achieve an efficient machining, mathematical modeling between input WEDM parameters and output performance characteristics should be available to the manufacturers.

Two kinds of approaches, theoretical and empirical, have been commonly used in modeling of WEDM process (Patil and Brahmankar, 2010). Owing to the simplified and unavoidable assumptions, the theoretical models yield large errors between predicted and experimental results. On the other hand, empirical models are limited to specific experimental conditions. Taguchi method and response surface methodology (RSM) are the most used statistical techniques for determining the relationship between various input parameters and output responses (Hewidy et al., 2005, Myers and Anderson-Cook, 2009, Bobbili et al., 2013). Besides that, feed forward neural network was used to model the process and correlate the input parameters with the performance measures (Tarng et al., 1995). Moreover, ANFIS was used to model the process for predicting the machining performance (Çaydaş et al., 2009, Maher et al., 2014b, Maher et al., 2014a).

ANFIS is a fuzzy inference system implemented in the framework of an adaptive neural network. By using a hybrid learning procedure, ANFIS can be used to construct an inputoutput mapping based on both human-knowledge as fuzzy ifthen rules and predetermined input-output data pairs for neural networks training. It provides a means for fuzzy modeling to learn information about the data set, in order to compute the membership function parameters that best allow the associated fuzzy inference system to track the given input-output data (Maher et al., 2006).

Because the WEDM involves a lot of machining parameters and multi-performance characteristics, the objective of the present study is to investigate the effects of three machining parameters including peak current, pulse on time, and wire tension and develop ANFIS model for improve the three performance characteristics namely cutting speed, surface roughness, and heat affected zone for AISI 1050 carbon steel.

### 2. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

The machining parameters including peak current (IP), pulse on time (Ton), and wire tension (WT) were chosen in this study to investigate the effect on machining performance including cutting speed (CS), surface roughness (Ra), and heat affected zone (HAZ). Two machining parameters with three levels and one machining parameter with two levels were chosen according to machining recommendations as shown in Table 1. The other machining parameters were kept constant as a fixed value during experiments to optimize the process as gape voltage = 21volts; pulse off time = 2µs; flush pressure =  $14kgf/cm^2$ ; water resistivity =  $6x10^4\Omega$ .cm; and wire speed = 3m/min.

Table 1. Levels of machining parameters

Machining parameter	Levels					
Machining parameter	1	2	3			
Pulse on time (µs)	0.15	0.20	0.25			
Wire tension (g)	300	350	400			
Peak current (A)	16	17	-			

The experiments were performed using Computer numerical control (CNC) Sodick A500W WEDM machine tool. Hard brass wire with a diameter of 0.2 mm and tensile strength of 1000 N/mm<sup>2</sup> was used for machining blocks of AISI 1050 carbon steel under specific machining conditions. The raw material with dimension (100x60x25) mm is machined into dimension (5x5x25) mm for each specimen. The chemical compositions of AISI 1050 carbon steel are illustrated in Table 2. The electrical resistivity and thermal conductivity of AISI 1050 carbon steel are  $1.63 \times 10^{-5} \Omega$ ·cm and 49.8 W/ (m·K) respectively.

The CS is recorded directly from the WEDM machine tool monitor. The Ra was measured with a stylus-based

profilometers (Mitutoyo SJ-201, 99.6% accuracy). Scanning electron microscope (SEM) is used to examine the surface characteristics of the machined part and used to measure the HAZ thickness.

Table 2. Chemical compositions of AISI 1050 carbon steel

Element	C	Mn	Р	S	Fe	
Weight, wt (%)	0.47-0.55	0.6-0.9	≤0.04	≤0.05	98.46-98.92	

The average CS was calculated from the three-recorded data under the same conditions. The average Ra was calculated for three different measurements under the same conditions with a sampling length of Lc=2.5 mm at a specific area of the workpiece. The average HAZ thickness was calculated from three measurements using ESM. Eighteen sets of data were used for training ANFIS, as summarized in Table 3.

<u>Table 3. Measured CS (mm/min), Ra (µm), and HAZ (µm) at</u> <u>different machining conditions</u>

Machining parameters			Performance characteristics				
IP	Ton	WT	CS	HAZ			
16		300	0.59	2.46	10.23		
	0.15	350	0.58	2.4	9.36		
		400	0.63	2.36	9.89		
	0.2	300	0.67	2.59	16.72		
		350	0.69	2.51	16.79		
		400	0.69	2.43	17.55		
		300	0.84	2.85	19.44		
	0.25	350	0.83	2.79	19.22		
		400	0.85	2.72	19.44		
17		300	0.82	2.52	13.35		
	0.15	350	0.79	2.48	12.66		
		400	0.79	2.45	12.69		
		300	0.93	2.66	18.73		
	0.2	350	0.96	2.59	19.16		
		400	0.98	2.54	19.10		
	0.25	300	1.1	2.90	20.84		
		350	1.12	2.85	21.63		
		400	1.13	2.76	21.76		

#### **3. ANFIS MODELING AND DISCUSSIONS**

ANFIS is a fuzzy inference system implemented in the framework of an adaptive neural network. By using a hybrid learning procedure, ANFIS can be used to construct an input–output mapping based on human knowledge as fuzzy if-then rules as well as predetermined input–output data pairs for neural network training. It provides a means for fuzzy modeling to learn information about the data set in order to compute the membership function parameters that best allow the associated fuzzy inference system to track the given input–output data (Zalnezhad et al., 2013, Jang et al., 1997).

ANFIS was constructed through MATLAB, and 18 readings comprised the training data set as listed in Table 3. Different membership functions were used in training ANFIS. Two membership's functions of peak current and three membership functions of the other two parameters (pulse on time and wire tension) were chosen for creating the ANFIS model. The generalized bell membership function (gbellmf) gives the lowest training error of all performance measures, so it was adopted for the ANFIS training process in this study. The fuzzy rule architecture of ANFIS when gbellmf is adopted consists of 18 fuzzy rules generated from the input-output data set based on the Sugeno fuzzy model. During training, the 18 performance measure values were used to conduct 50 cycles of learning with an average error of  $8.37 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $2.6 \times 10^{-6}$ , and  $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$  for cutting speed, surface roughness, and heat affected zone respectively.

According to Fig. 1a, b pulse on time and peak current had considerable effect on cutting speed, while an increase in both pulse on time and/or peak current led to an increase in cutting speed, but wire tension had a minor effect on cutting speed. Increase pulse on time and peak current values are recommended for higher cutting speed. That is because the combination of pulse on time and peak current determine the spark energy and hence the amount of heat required to remove a specified volume of material. By increasing the pulse on time and peak current, large crater has to be cut per spark as shown in Fig. 2a, b; thus, the energy required is high. Consequently, this would increase the heat energy, leading to increase cutting speed (El-Hofy, 2005). The ANFIS model show that the maximum cutting speed is at the highest levels of peak current and pulse on time.

Figure 3a, b shows the effect of cutting parameters on the surface roughness based on ANFIS model. The surface roughness increases as peak current and pulse on time increase, but minor changes as wire tension increases. The SEM micrographs at 1500-x magnification of the machined surface at the lowest and highest levels of peak current and pulse on time are shown in Fig. 4a, b. The SEM micrographs show that the surface roughness with the highest levels of peak current and pulse on time is higher than the lowest levels of peak current and pulse on time. This is because of the discharge energy increases with peak current and pulse on time. Hence, larger craters are produced and lead to larger surface roughness on the workpiece (Kumar and Agarwal, 2012). This can be proved by the theory that shown in Fig. 2a, b and equation (1) (El-Hofy, 2005).

$$hm \alpha (V IP Ton)^{1/3}$$
(1)

The effects of pulse on time, peak current, and wire preloading on heat affected zone are shown in Fig. 5a, b. Pulse on time and peak current had great effect on the heat affected zone, but wire tension had minor effect on heat affected zone, while the heat affected zone width increases with increasing pulse on time and/or peak current as shown in Fig. 5a, b. Figure 6a, b introduce SEM micrograph 3000-x magnification of the heat affected zone. This micrograph verify that; the maximum width of heat affected zone is at the highest levels of peak current and pulse on time and the less heat affected zone width is at the lowest values of pulse on time and peak current. This is because of the heat energy increases with peak current and pulse on time. Hence, larger heat is produced on the machined surface and lead to larger heat affected zone on the workpiece (Saha et al., 2008).



Fig. 1 The modeled cutting speed (CS) by ANFIS in relation to parameters change, a) CS in relation to change of Pulse on time (Ton) and peak current (IP), and b) CS in relation to change of wire tension (WT) and peak current (IP).



Fig. 2 Effect of spark energy on removal rate and surface roughness. a) Effect of pulse current on removal rate and surface roughness. b) Effect of pulse on time on removal rate and surface roughness.



Fig. 3 The modeled Surface roughness (Ra) by ANFIS in relation to parameters change. a) Ra in relation to change of Pulse on time (Ton) and peak current (IP). b) Ra in relation to change of wire tension (WT) and peak current (IP).



Fig. 4 SEM micrograph at different levels of spark energy. a) SEM micrograph at the lowest levels of peak current (IP=16A) and pulse on time (Ton= $0.15\mu$ s). b) SEM micrograph at the highest levels of peak current (IP=17A) and pulse on time (Ton= $0.25\mu$ s)



Fig. 5 The modeled Heat affected zone (HAZ) by ANFIS in relation to parameters change. a) HAZ in relation to change of Pulse on time (Ton) and peak current (IP). b) HAZ in relation to change of wire tension (WT) and peak current (IP).



Fig. 6 SEM micrograph at different levels of spark energy. a) SEM for the lowest levels of peak current (IP=16A) and pulse on time (Ton=0.15 $\mu$ s). b) SEM micrograph for the highest levels of peak current (IP=17A) and pulse on time (Ton=0.25 $\mu$ s).

#### **4. MODEL VERIFICATION**

Four random readings were used as the testing data set. The measured CS, Ra, and HAZ values versus predicted values using the ANFIS model is shown in Table 4. The plot of four measured CS, Ra, and HAZ values versus predicted values using the ANFIS model is shown in Fig. 7. This figure presents a comparison of the measured and predicted CS, Ra, and HAZ of the testing data set of four following training using ANFIS. Appropriate assent is evident between the measured and predicted values. This close assent obviously displays that the ANFIS models can be used to predict the CS, Ra, and HAZ under consideration.

Table 4. Comparison of measured and predicted CS (mm/min), Ra  $(\mu m)$ , and HAZ  $(\mu m)$  for the testing data set

Ma	Machining Performance characteristics				Emon persont						
parameters		Measured			ANFIS			Error percent			
IP	Ton	WT	CS	Ra	HAZ	CS	Ra	HAZ	CS	Ra	HAZ
Α	μs	g	m/min	μm	μm	m/min	μm	μm	$E_i(\%)$		)
16.5	0 175	325	0.79	2.39	17.41	0.762	2.53	16.6	3.54	5.86	4.65
	0.175	375	0.81	2.38	16.76	0.781	2.47	16.9	3.58	3.78	0.84
	0.225	325	5 0.90	2.85	18.49	0.941	2.79	19.6	4.44	2.11	6.00
	0.223	375	0.97	2.62	18.87	0.952	2.72	19.8	2.06	3.82	4.93
	$E_{av}$						3.41	3.89	4.10		

To evaluate the Fuzzy model, the percentage error  $E_i$  and average percentage error  $E_{av}$  defined in Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively, were used.

$$E_{ii} = \frac{|T_m - T_p|}{T_m} \times 100$$
 (2)

$$E_{av} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{ii=1}^{m} E \tag{3}$$

where  $E_i$  is the percentage error of sample number *i*;  $T_m$  is the measured value;  $T_p$  is the predicted value; *i*=1,2,3 is the sample number; and  $E_{av}$  is the average percentage error of *m* sample data. The obtained average percentage error is 3.41, 3.89, and 4.1 for CS, Ra and HAZ respectively. The low error level signifies that the CS, Ra, and HAZ results predicted by ANFIS are very close to the actual experimental results. The error values mean that the proposed model can predict CS, Ra, and HAZ satisfactorily.



Fig. 7 Measured versus predicted CS, Ra, and HAZ

#### **5. CONCLUSIONS**

The study finds that the cutting speed, surface roughness, and heat affected zone are most significantly influenced by the peak current and pulse on time. Although it has little or no impact on cutting speed and the heat affected zone, wire tension has a significant impact on surface roughness. An empirical model for simulating the relationship between the predictor variables (Ton, IP, and WT) and the performance parameters was successfully developed using ANFIS (CS, Ra, and HAZ). With average percentage errors of 3.41, 3.89, and 4.1, respectively, the ANFIS model with gbellmf is reliable and may be used to forecast cutting speed, surface roughness, and the heat affected zone in wire electric discharge machining operations.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the financial support by the University Malaya Research Grant (Grant no.: (PPP) PG020-2013B) from the University of Malaya, Malaysia.

#### REFERENCES

- BARZANI, M. M., SARHAN, A. A. D., FARAHANY, S., RAMESH, S. & MAHER, I. 2015. Investigating the Machinability of Al–Si–Cu cast alloy containing bismuth and antimony using coated carbide insert. *Measurement*, 62, 170-178.
- BOBBILI, R., MADHU, V. & GOGIA, A. K. 2013. Effect of Wire-EDM Machining Parameters on Surface Roughness

and Material Removal Rate of High Strength Armor Steel. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 28, 364-368.

- ÇAYDAŞ, U., HASÇALıK, A. & EKICI, S. 2009. An adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) model for wire-EDM. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36, 6135-6139.
- EL-HOFY, H. 2005. Advanced machining processes, McGraw-Hill.
- GÖKLER, M. İ. & OZANÖZGÜ, A. M. 2000. Experimental investigation of effects of cutting parameters on surface roughness in the WEDM process. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 40, 1831-1848.
- HEWIDY, M., EL-TAWEEL, T. & EL-SAFTY, M. 2005. Modelling the machining parameters of wire electrical discharge machining of Inconel 601 using RSM. *Journal* of Materials Processing Technology, 169, 328-336.
- HO, K. H., NEWMAN, S. T., RAHIMIFARD, S. & ALLEN, R. D. 2004. State of the art in wire electrical discharge machining (WEDM). *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 44, 1247-1259.
- HUANG, J. T., LIAO, Y. S. & HSUE, W. J. 1999. Determination of finish-cutting operation number and machining-parameters setting in wire electrical discharge machining. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 87, 69-81.
- JANG, J.-S. R., SUN, C.-T. & MIZUTANI, E. 1997. *Neurofuzzy and soft computing : a computational approach to learning and machine intelligence*, U.S.A., Prentice Hall, Inc.

JANGRA, K., GROVER, S. & AGGARWAL, A. 2011. Simultaneous optimization of material removal rate and surface roughness for WEDM of WC-Co composite using grey relational analysis along with Taguchi method. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering Computations*, 2, 479-490.

KRUTH, J. P., VAN HUMBEECK, J. & STEVENS, L. 1995. Micro structural investigation and metallographic analysis of the white layer of a surface machined by electro discharge machining. *Proceedings of international symposium for electromachining isem 11*. Les Presses Polytechniques Romandes , Lausanne, Switzerland.

KUMAR, K. & AGARWAL, S. 2012. Multi-objective parametric optimization on machining with wire electric discharge machining. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 62, 617-633.

MAHER, I., ELTAIB, M. E. H. & EL-ZAHRY, R. M.
Surface roughness prediction in end milling using multiple regression and adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system. International Conference on Mechanical Engineering Advanced Technology For Industrial Production, 12-14/December 2006 Assiut University, Egypt. Assiut University, 614-620.

MAHER, I., ELTAIB, M. E. H., SARHAN, A. D. & EL-ZAHRY, R. M. 2014a. Cutting force-based adaptive neuro-fuzzy approach for accurate surface roughness prediction in end milling operation for intelligent machining. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 1-9.

MAHER, I., ELTAIB, M. E. H., SARHAN, A. D. & EL-ZAHRY, R. M. 2014b. Investigation of the effect of machining parameters on the surface quality of machined brass (60/40) in CNC end milling—ANFIS modeling. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 1-7.

MAHER, I., SARHAN, A. A. D. & HAMDI, M. 2014c. Review of improvements in wire electrode properties for longer working time and utilization in wire EDM machining. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 1-23.

MYERS, R. H. & ANDERSON-COOK, C. M. 2009. Response surface methodology: process and product optimization using designed experiments, John Wiley & Sons.

NOURBAKHSH, F., RAJURKAR, K. P., MALSHE, A. P. & CAO, J. 2013. Wire electro-discharge machining of Titanium alloy. *Procedia CIRP*, 5, 13-18.

PATIL, N. & BRAHMANKAR, P. K. 2010. Determination of material removal rate in wire electro-discharge machining of metal matrix composites using dimensional analysis. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 51, 599-610.

RAMASAWMY, H., BLUNT, L. & RAJURKAR, K. P. 2005. Investigation of the relationship between the white layer thickness and 3D surface texture parameters in the die sinking EDM process. *Precision Engineering*, 29, 479-490.

SAHA, P., SINGHA, A., PAL, S. & SAHA, P. 2008. Soft computing models based prediction of cutting speed and surface roughness in wire electro-discharge machining of tungsten carbide cobalt composite. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 39, 74-84.

SOMMER, C. & SOMMER, S. 2013. *Complete EDM Handbook*, Texas, USA, Reliable EDM.

TARNG, Y. S., MA, S. C. & CHUNG, L. K. 1995. Determination of optimal cutting parameters in wire electrical discharge machining. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 35, 1693-1701.

TOMLINSON, W. J. & ADKIN, J. R. 1992. Microstructure and properties of electrodischarge machined surfaces. *Surface Engineering*, 8, 283-288.

ZALNEZHAD, E., SARHAN, A. D. & HAMDI, M. 2013. Investigating the effects of hard anodizing parameters on surface hardness of hard anodized aerospace AL7075-T6 alloy using fuzzy logic approach for fretting fatigue application. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 68, 453-464.