Design considerations for a DC/DC converter's resistive feedback divider

[1*] Mr.Sandeep ku Dash, [2] Mr.Bikash Kumar Swain

[1*] Asst. Professor, Dept. Of Electrical Engineering, NIT BBSR,
Asst. Professor DEPT. of Electrical Engineering, NIT BBSR,
[1]sandeep@thenalanda.com[*], ,
bikashkumar@thenalanda.com

Introduction

The most prevalent network in any DC/DC converter's feedback system is the resistive divider. It is frequently erroneously interpreted as a circuit that merely sets the output voltage by scaling it down to a reference voltage, though. Power-supply designers must take special attention when selecting the actual resistance values since they affect the converter's overall performance after determining the correct divider ratio.

This article discusses the design consider- ations for the resistive divider in a feedback system and how the divider affects a converter's efficiency, output- voltage accuracy, noise sensitivity, and stability.

Efficiency

Switching Because they transmit power to a load through low-loss components like capacitors, inductors, and switches, DC/DC converters have relatively high efficiencies. High efficiency make it possible for batteries to last longer, which extends the time portable gadgets can be used.

For low-power DC/DC converters, a typical design for resistive feedback requires the total resistance of the divider resistors (R1 + R2) to be very large (up to 1 MΩ). This minimizes the current through the feedback divider.

This current is in addition to the load, which means that for lower feedback-divider resistances, the battery must supply more current and more power for the same load. Hence, efficiency is lowered. This is undesirable, especially in portable applications where battery life is important.

Design example 1

Figure 1 confirms that efficiency drops at low loads with lower feedback resistances. In this example, the Texas Instruments (TI) TPS62060EVM was used with V_{IN} = 5 V, V_{OUT} = 1.8 V, and power-save mode enabled. At high-load currents, the power dissipated by the load was much larger than the power dissipated by the resistive-feedback network. This is why the efficiencies for different R1 and R2 values converge at higher-load currents. However, at low-load currents, the differences in efficiency for differ-ent feedback resistances are more prominent. This is because the current through the divider dominated the current through the load. Therefore, to have higher effi-ciencies at light loads, it is good design practice to use the large feedback resistances recommended in the datasheet. If efficiency at light loads is not important in a given design, then smaller resistances can be used with essentially no impact on efficiency.

Figure 1. Efficiency of TPS62060 buck converter with different feedback-divider resistances

Output-voltage accuracy

Using large feedback resistances to increase efficiency was just discussed. However, choosing resistances that are too large affects the converter's output-voltage accuracy because of leakage current going into the converter's feedback pin. Figure 2 shows the current paths at the resistive feedback divider (R1 and R2). For a fixed feedback leakage current (I_{FB}), current through R1 (I_{R1}) decreases as the values of R1 and R2 increase. Therefore, an increase in divider resistance means that a larger percentage of I_{R1} leaks into the feedback pin, and the current through R2 (I_{R2}) decreases, causing a lower feedback-pin voltage (V_{FB}) than expected. Since V_{FB} is compared to an internal reference voltage to set the output voltage, any inaccuracies in the feedback voltage create inaccuracies in the output voltage. Equation 1 can be derived from Kirchhoff's Current Law, showing V_{FB} as a function of R1 and R2:

$$V_{FB} = R2 \times \frac{V_{OUT} - I_{FB} \ R1}{R1 + R2} \qquad \textbf{(1)}$$

Note that I_{FB} is not fixed in a real system and can vary from device to device and over the operating conditions. To generate a worst-case estimate of the output-voltage change that is due to the leakage current, the specified maximum value of I_{FB} is used in the calculations.

Design example 2

Equation 1 and the TI TPS62130 step-down converter were used to graph the feedback-pin voltage and the corresponding output voltage as functions of the feedback-divider

resistance (Figure 3). The voltage graphs were based on the ideal resistances required to generate an output voltage of 3.3 V with a feedback-pin voltage of 0.8 V. The only error term considered was the maximum feedback leakage current of 100 nA specified in the datasheet.

Figure 3 shows that the feedback-pin voltage decreases as the feedback-divider resistance increases. Since the feedback-pin voltage is offset, the output of the converter is also offset. At low resistances, there is no offset from the feedback-pin voltage, and the output regulates at 3.3 V as designed.

When the recommended maximum value of 400 kΩ was used for resistor R2, resulting in a total divider resistance of 1650 kΩ, the leakage current caused only a minimal decrease in the output voltage. Keeping the output voltage within the datasheet's specified accuracy is typically the reason for the datasheet to specify a maximum value for one of the resistors.

Figure 2. Leakage current going into the feedback pin of a converter

Figure 3. TPS62130's V_{FB} and V_{OUT} as functions of feedback-divider resistance

Noise sensitivity

The resistive divider is one source of noise for a converter. This noise, known as thermal noise, is equal to $4K_BTR$, where K_B is Boltzmann's constant, T is the temperature in Kelvin, and R is the resistance. Using large resistance values for the divider increases this noise.

Additionally, large resistances allow more noise to couple into the converter. This noise comes from a multitude of sources, including AM and FM radio waves, cellular phone signals, and switching converters or RF transmitters on the PCB. Noise can even come from the switching DC/DC converter itself, especially if proper PCB-layout practices are not followed. Since the resistive divider is tied to the feedback pin, the noise is amplified by the closed-loop gain of the converter and is seen at the output. To reduce the susceptibility to other noise sources, a designer might use lower feedback resistances, better board layout, or shielding. Using lower feedback resistances does reduce the noise susceptibility, though at the cost of slightly lower efficiency.

Control loop, transient response, and converter stability

A stable converter ideally has at least 45° of phase margin when measured with a network analyzer. This much phase margin gives less or no ringing on the output voltage, which prevents damage to voltage-sensitive loads during an input-voltage transient or load transient.

Depending on the control topology, the datasheet may require or recommend a feedforward capacitor (C_{FF}) to be used with the resistive feedback network. This setup is shown in Figure 4. Adding the feedforward capacitor to the resistive divider produces zero and pole frequencies that generate a phase boost capable of increasing the converter's phase margin and crossover frequency for a higher bandwidth and more stable system. Reference 2 describes this circuit in great detail. From the transfer function of the circuit in Figure 4, the zero frequency (f_z) and the pole frequency (f_p) are calculated with Equations 2 and 3, respectively:

$$f_z = \frac{1}{2\pi R1 \times C_{FF}} \qquad (2)$$

Figure 4. Resistive feedback network with feedforward capacitor

$$f_p = \frac{1}{2\pi C_{FF} \times \frac{R1 \times R2}{R1 + R2}} \qquad (3)$$

Clearly, the zero and pole frequencies are functions of the values used for the resistive divider and the feedforward capacitor. Therefore, increasing or decreasing the resistance values to optimize efficiency, voltage accuracy, or noise changes the frequency location of the phase boost and the overall loop of the system. To ensure stability, Equation 4 should be used to calculate a new C_{FF} value based on the previous zero frequency or the zero frequency recommended in the datasheet (whichever value is available):

$$C_{FF}(new) = \frac{1}{2\pi R1(new) \times f_z(recommended)} \text{ or} \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi R1(new) \times f_z(old)}$$

$$f_z(old) = \frac{1}{2\pi R1(old) \times C_{FF}(old)}$$

Design example 3

The effect of the resistive divider on converter stability is seen by using a buck converter. For example 3, the TI TPS62240 buck converter was used, with V_{IN} = 3.6 V, V_{OUT} = 1.8 V, L_{OUT} = 2.2 µH, C_{OUT} = 10 µF, and I_{Load} = 300 mA.

Figures 5 and 6 respectively show the closed-loop response and its corresponding transient response under three different resistive divider networks. A feedforward capacitor was used in each network to illustrate how changing the divider-network components changes the stability of the buck converter. When the values recommended in the datasheet for the divider-network components were used (R1 = 365 kΩ, R2 = 182 kΩ, and C_{FF} = 22 pF), the converter was stable, with a phase margin of 59°. Its transient response verified this with a slight output-voltage drop and no oscillations.

Figure 5. Buck converter's closed-loop frequency response with different R1, R2, and C_{FF} values

Figure 6. Buck converter's load-transient response with different R1, R2, and C_{FF} values

V1$_{OUT\_AC}$ (50 mV/div)
R1 = 365 kΛ, R2 = 182 kΛ, C_{FF} = 22 pF

V2$_{OUT\_AC}$ (50 mV/div)
R1 = 3.65 kΛ, R2 = 1.82 kΛ, C_{FF} = 22 pF

V3$_{OUT\_AC}$ (50 mV/div)
R1 = 3.65 kΛ, R2 = 1.82 kΛ, C_{FF} = 2200 pF

I$_{OUT\_DC}$ (100 mA/div)
Load Step = 0 to 300 mA

Time (10 µs/div)

When the feedback-divider resistances were proportionally reduced to R1 = 3.65 kΩ and R2 = 1.82 kΩ, but the same feedforward capacitance (C_{FF} = 22 pF) was used, the change in the zero and pole frequencies of the feedback network moved the phase boost away from the crossover frequency of the loop. The frequency response showed that the converter was less stable, with a phase margin of 40°. The converter's transient response verified this with a larger output-voltage drop and more ringing. To maintain the original frequency response and stability, the C_{FF} value was recalculated for the new feedback-resistance values.

Using Equation 4 with the smaller resistance values yielded a new value for the feedforward capacitance of 2200 pF. This generated results similar to those of the first condition. The converter was stable with a phase margin of 56°, which its transient response verified with a slight output-voltage drop and no oscillation.

For a converter that utilizes a feedforward capacitor in its control topology, changing the values of the resistive divider can easily make the converter less stable. However, the example just given shows that changing these values maintains the same frequency response and transient response as long as the feedforward capacitance is adjusted appropriately.

Special-case designs

The internal compensation of some converters requires a specific C_{FF} value if a designer must use a feedforward capacitor to improve stability. For these cases, Equation 4 should not be used. Rather, the designer should use the datasheet's recommended design equations. For example, the TI TPS61070 has internal compensation across the high-side feedback resistor (R1). Its datasheet recommends using the following design equation for adding a capacitor in parallel to R1:

Conclusion

A DC/DC converter's efficiency, output-voltage precision, noise sensitivity, and stability are all impacted by the resistive feedback divider or network. Use the suggested values for the feedback components in the datasheet in order to obtain the performance illustrated therein. Other times, system needs may require deviating from these guidelines in order to accomplish a different design objective. To suit their application needs, designers can select greater or lesser resistances by understanding the trade-offs between these various factors.

References

For more information related to this article, you can download an Acrobat® Reader® file at www.ti.com/lit/*litnumber* and replace "*litnumber*" with the **TI Lit. #** for the materials listed below.